

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/514,119	02/28/2000	Phyllis A Schneck	62004-1330	9265
7590 05/25/2004			EXAMINER	
Tomas Kayden			ABRISHAMKAR, KAVEH	
Horstemeyer & Risley LLP 100 Georgia Parkway Suite 1750			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Atlanta, GA 30339-5948			2131	
			DATE MAILED: 05/25/2004	, (

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

N

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
, Interview Summary	09/514,119	SCHNECK ET AL.				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kaveh Abrishamkar	2131				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>Kaveh Abrishamkar</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Sam S. Han</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 24 May 2004.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2)⊡ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,11,18 and 25</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: Schneck U.S. Patent 6,510,349.						
Agreement with respect to the claims f)□ was reached. g)☑ was not reached. h)□ N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
Independent closing 1, 11, 19; and 25 were discussed as Examine had issued a double patenting rejection bossed on						
Examiner had issued a double potenting rejection bossed on						
Us. Potent 6, 510, 349. Due to sympticantic Terminal Discharge						
claims 1,11,18,1 med 25 were discussed to be allowable upon						
consultation with SPE, Applicant argued that depended						
downs which were not issued a double potenting rejection						
etheralls no no contrapped with no becord ethought set brooks in in in the test that a rimanx 3 minds trebugged in						
at enance Grow of let bette remarks a mich technique in						
clans and consult with SPE. Applicant stated that A Formal						
les pouse und be sut.						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Examiner's signature, if required